UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/655,091	09/05/2000	Johann Meseth	GR 98 P 3112	8366
	7590 07/03/200 E NBERG STEMER LI	EXAMINER		
POBOX 2480		PALABRICA, RICARDO J		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/655,091	MESETH, JOHANN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rick Palabrica	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A _L	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-10 and 15-20 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,7-10,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/9/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's 4/14/08 Amendment, which amended withdrawn claim 17 and traversed the rejection of claims examined in the 1/14/08 Office action, is acknowledged.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 2 recite the limitation, "condensing pipe leading into said condensing chamber for enabling overflow of vapor in the condensing chamber."

Underlining provided.

The claims are vague, indefinite and incomplete and their metes and bounds cannot be determined because the underlined limitation can be interpreted differently, e.g., a) the condensing pipe enables the overflow of vapor into the condensing chamber from regions outside the chamber; or b) the condensing pipe enables the flow of vapor from the condensing chamber to regions outside the chamber.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,091 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brettschuh et al. ("SWR-1000 der Siedewasserreaktor der Zukunft", Siemens Power Journal, 2/96) in view of Schanz (U.S. 3,115,450). Brettschuh et al. disclose the applicant's claim limitations except for the drain pipe.

As to claims 1, 2, 15 and 16, Brettschuh et al. teach a containment vessel for a nuclear power plant (see Figs. 1-5) comprising: a) an interior space, i.e., the space inside the containment; b) a condensing chamber, i.e., "kondensations-kammer"; c) pressure chamber disposed in said reactor space, i.e., the region surrounding the reactor pressure vessel; d) condenser disposed in said interior space, i.e., "Gebaude-kondensator"; e) condensing pipe leading into said condensing chamber, i.e., "Flutleitung Kondensations-rohr.

Schanz teaches a nuclear reactor containment having a drywell 154 (e.g., see Fig. 7). He further teaches a plurality of vent tubes 180 open radially through inner containment 159 from the upper end of dry well 154 and turn downwardly penetrating liquid level 176 to lower open end 182 into pool 164. In the event of an accident, non-condensible gases from the drywell are released to pool 164. Applicant's claim

language reads on Schanz as follows: a)" drain pipe" reads on vent tube 180, and b) "condensing chamber" reads on pool 164.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus, as disclosed by Brettscuh et al., to include a drain pipe in the top region of the pressure chamber and directly connect said pipe to a condensing chamber, to gain the advantages thereof (i.e, provide a path for non-condensible gases and preclude over-pressure of the containment), because such modification is no more than the use of a well known expedient within the nuclear art.

As to having the upper end of this drain pipe disposed above Brettscuh et al.'s condenser, applicant has not identified any specific advantage of the claimed location of the upper level of the drain pipe and therefore said location is a matter of design choice. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to an artisan to locate such upper end above the condenser to allow as much volume as possible for removal of noncondensible gases from inside the containment.

As to claims 3 and 4, Schanz teaches a drain pipe with a permanently open flow path.

As to claims 7 and 8, again applicant has not identified any specific advantage of the claimed location of the condensing pipe end relative to the drain pipe end and therefore said relative pipe end location is a matter of design choice.

As to claims 9 and 10, see, e.g., Fig. 1 in Brettscuh et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,091 Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick Palabrica whose telephone number is 571-272-6880. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30, Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

July 1, 2008

/Rick Palabrica/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3663